Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| 10/590,020 | ROKKJAER, RENNO HJORTH | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Cheryl Juska | 1794 | |

| | Cheryl Juska | 1794 | |
|--|--|---|----------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques | ne |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropriate extension fernally set in the final Office action; or (2) | ee as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cord (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content. | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec | TE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ¹ 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | 16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | ıe |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an explanation of | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is necessary and | d |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | | condition for allowance because: | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Cheryl Juska/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed limitation that the curable polymer has a thickness less than a thickness of the felt layer raises the issue of new matter. Specifically, while the specification teaches the penetration distance of the polymer coating into the felt layer is less than the thickness of said felt layer, the specification does not necessarily teach the thickness of the coating itself (i.e., total thickness) is less than the thickness of the felt layer.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is based upon a non-entered amendment. However, while it is noted said amendment would be sufficient to overcome the standing 112, 1st rejection, it raises the issue of a new 112, 1st rejection as described above.